IMS, main driver for a new telecommunication world or only a new hype: a scenario methodology approach with stakeholder analysis

Final Dissertation M.liit in Marketing

Date 25 August 2006

By Cristiano Berti





Today's situation

- Scenario Planning Approach
- Methodology followed
- 4 Scenarios and strategic implications

Signposts







Scenario Planning

Scenario is considered suitable to investigate an issue in a complex and dynamic environment as telecom industry Scenario versus Forecast





Methodology followed

- I. Definition of the scope and time frame for our issue
- **II.** Information Gathering:
 - Research in academic and on line articles, company web sites, industry reports, standard bodies' web sites, books
 - o Industry experts' interviews
- III. Data analysis
- **IV.** Stakeholder's analysis and classification
- V. Selection and ordering according to uncertainty and importance of the ten drivers
- **VI. Scenario Building**
- **VII. Scenario Logic**





The day after tomorrow scenario

IMS is successful and 4g vision is fully delivered

What we need to believe in order to bring about this scenario:

- Operators will deploy IMS in the right way
- Killer app arrives

Problems that have been solved:

			Operators: Increasing ARPU, decreasing churn rate,
Winners:		Losers:	decreasing costs, preventing NEW ENTRANTS'
•	Operators	Operators	threats
•	Users	who didn't	 Users: having access to different ways of
•	Equipment	believe in IMS	communication (voice, data, Video) regardless of the
•	Vendors		device and the network
•	Handset		 Equipment vendors: IMS represents a large
	Manufacturers		source of revenue requiring a complete
			infrastructure upgrading

Strategic implications:

- Operators become service providers, release services very quick, understand customers' needs

- Customers enjoy convergence and combinational services, collaborate and demand new customized services (pull model)

- Vendors deliver devices and infrastructures that allow operators and customers to talk each other



Waterloo scenario

Operators tried to deploy IMS but failed

- What we need to believe in order to bring about this scenario:
 - Operators' will to deploy IMS in the right way
 - Killer app has not arrived

Winners:

Losers:

- Operators
 that did not
 believe in
 IMS
- New Entrants Equipment

• Users

Operators who have implemented IMS

Problems that have been solved:

- Need of users for cheap and easily manageable services

Strategic implications:

- Operators don't change mentality, don't implement correct strategy to deploy IMS
- Customers are not ready for convergence, they look for compelling services but at lower price and easy to manage
- Vendors want to keep the intelligence into the devices



St Andrews University

Midway scenario

Operators didn't believe in IMS, but customers was ready for convergence. UMA is the killer app

- What we need to believe in order to bring about this scenario:
 - No operators will to deploy
 - Killer app arrives

Winners:

- Wireline Operators that have invested in UMA
- Equipment Vendors that have provided UMA systems
- \bullet
- **New Entrants** \bullet
- Handset manufacturers

Strategic implications:

Users

Losers:

- Operators want to use their existing core network to deliver all GSM/GPRS services
- UMA has to be able to scale when the number of the users increases
- Operators want customer can use their PSTN numbers
- Operators want a large choice of handsets, being user-friendly
- A clear billing system is required



Problems that have been solved:

- Users have better coverage, save

- Operators increase revenues
- Vendors increase revenues



Back to the past scenario

In 2011 the situation has not changed in comparison with 2006, any form of FMC failed, operators has looked to growth their revenues by 5 % customers seek only to save money

What we need to believe in order to bring about this scenario:

- No operators will to deploy
- Killer app has not arrived

Winners:

 New Entrants to some extent

Losers:

- Operators
- Equipment Vendors
- Handset
 - Manufacturers
- Chip Manufacturers
- IT Vendors
- Customers

Problems that have been solved:

- None

Strategic implications:

- No mentality change
- No unified world
- Operators deliver only cheap services, handset manufacturer focus on basic features devices
- ARPU decrease dramatically
- No brand loyalty means churn rate reaches the highest levels
- Operators, Handset manufacturers don't collaborate
- Only new entrants gain some advantages delivering cheap and easy to use services



Signposts

Factors to monitor which scenario is coming to pass

- Types of Handsets produced and sold
- Where revenues for data services come from
- How many IMS platforms are sold
- Number of testing and trialling
- Degree of interoperability
- Churn rates and Customer satisfaction



Summary

- Operators are facing several difficulties that hinder their growth
- FMC is considered the new revolution in telecom world delivering a 4G vision
- A big issue is whether IMS is the main driver for FMC or only a hype
- 4 scenarios have been built to paint different futures according to the most important drivers:
 - In 'The day after tomorrow' 4G vision is completely delivered thanks to complete IMS deployment
 - In 'Waterloo ' Operators believed in IMS but failed and 4G vision has not been delivered'
 - In 'Midway' Operators didn't believe in IMS but UMA became the killer app
 - In 'Back to the past' nothing has changed and operators see their revenues increasingly going down
- Signposts are put forward to monitor which scenario is going to pass







