

Mobile Payments market scenarios and strategic options for service providers

Milan, 13 Mar 2008

Giorgio Andreoli Director Strategic Marketing Ericsson MU South East Europe



Agenda

- Mobile payments facts
- Ericsson strategic vision
- Architecture components & business models
- Conclusions



Ericsson

Information & Collaboration

SERVICES LEADERSHIP

• #1 in telecom services

- 29,500 services professionals
- 15% growth YoY (end 2007)
- #1 in managed services

WORLDWIDE PRESENCE

- over 140 countries
 - Supporting networks with > 1 Bn subs



NETWORKS LEADERSHIP

- #1 GSM networks supplier (> 300 networks WW)
- #1 in WCDMA (129 references out of 234)
- Leading HSPA supplier
- Leader in fixed and IP networks





MOBILE HANDSETS (SonyEricsson)

50/50 partnership with Sony
#4 in mobile handsets
#1 in mobile music (Walkman series)

Sony Ericsson

adia

The world leader in convergent communications

Agenda

- Mobile payments facts
- Ericsson strategic vision
- Architecture components & business models
- Conclusions



mobile payments in Italy

Posteitaliane

- Nov 2007 PosteMobile, the MVNO launched by Poste Italiane, launched remote P2P (peer-to-peer) mobile payments
 - payments between PostePay customers
 - e-topup of Prapaid accounts
 - SIM based service
- Feb 2008 Telecom Italia announced launch of remote P2P payments by end 2008
 - SIM based
 - partnership with Banks
- Feb 2008 Noverca (Acotel group) signed an MVNO agreement with a "primary Telco operator", in the frame of a partnership agreement between Acotel and Intesa San Paolo



Poste \





Mobile Payments: alternative models CONTACTLESS PAYMENTS REMOTE PAYMENTS



concept	handset → payment tool	payment → mobile service	
success stories	Japan (Felica) EU: deployment started on 2007	Philippines, Asia, Africa EU: Poste (Italy), BASE (Belgium)	
pioneers	Sony, NTT Docomo	PayPal	
standardization	GSMA (Pay-Buy mobile), NFC Forum	GSMA (MMT)	

Mobile Felica (Giappone)



Mobile Felica, results

- Penetration: 50% [end 2007]
 - share of handsets Felica enabled
- Usage: 30% [end 2007]
 - users with a Felica-enabled handset that used mobile Felica at least once
- Roll-out & ROI
 - **1997**: first Felica card (Hong Kong)
 - 2000-01: Felica infrastructure (R/W, cards) operating in Japan
 - **2004**: Felica Networks start-up / launch of Mobile Felica
 - 2007: break-even for Felica Networks
- 4-5 between infrastructure deployment and mobile launch
- 7 years to Mobile Felica break-even (Felica Networks)

Agenda

- Mobile payments facts
- Ericsson strategic vision
- Architecture components & business models
- Conclusions



Mobile Payments: alternative models **CONTACTLESS REMOTE PAYMENTS PAYMENTS**

		<image/>	
technology	NFC	SMS, http	
SIM	REPLACEMENT NEEDED		
HANDSET	REPLACEMENT NEEDED		
	REPLACEMENT		

NEEDED

POS (R/W)

Mobile NFC vs. Mobile Felica

- Mobile NFC based on Felica model
 - − Felica ⇔ NFC
 - Felica Networks \Leftrightarrow TSM
- Complex value chain
 - TSM (3rd party) role
 - Mobile Operators' role due SIM ownership
- Unclear business model for Telco





Source: Greenwich consulting for Ericsson

CONCLUSIONS mobile NFC will take >5 years to become mass market

Mobile Payments: alternative models



Mobile peer-to-peer payments: use cases



Person-to-Person

P2P informal transactions parent → child friend → friend





Client-Merchant

F2F transactions e.g. taxi, kiosks

Payments P2M transactions

e.g. m-parking, m-gov, m-commerce

P2P mobile payments: key end-user requirements

	Person-to-Person parent → child friend → friend	Payments m-parking m-gov, m-commerce	Client-Merchant taxi kiosks
(MONTHLY) ACCOUNT REPORT	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
PIN	(OPTIONAL BELOW 20€)	(OPTIONAL BELOW 20€)	(OPTIONAL BELOW 20€)
SIGNED RECEIPT	NOT NEEDED	\checkmark	\checkmark
REAL-TIME MONEY TRANSFER	NOT NEEDED	NOT NEEDED	
	Ericsson Confidential 14	Planet Card 2008, Milan	2008-03-13 ERICSSON 🔰

P2P mobile payments, implementation options



Agenda

- Mobile payments facts
- Ericsson strategic vision
- Architecture components & business models
- Conclusions





m-payments business models: decision tree for MNOs and Banks (sample)



⁽¹⁾ EMI = E-Money Institute (IMEL in Italy)
 ⁽²⁾ MNO acting as service provider for (small) banks

Ericsson Confidential 21

Planet Card 2008, Milan

Note: TI and Banks position desumed based on public information

Business models taxonomy





- MNO acting as EMI (E-Money Institute) or Payment Institute¹
- banking license, e-wallet and back-end setup up to MNO
- 100% investments up to MNO

- Pros
 - opportunity for co-branding and transaction processing
- Cons
 - competition increase
 - Banking system cut-off from m-payments market development

¹ since 2010



- MNO acting as turnkey m-payments service provider
- Bank acting as Financial service provider
- Interesting model for smallmedium Banks and possible start-up model for large ones
- investments mainly up to MNO

- Pros
 - churn reduction
 - service differenciation
 - limited investments and risks
 - using MNO franchisee network may be an option
- Cons
 - no interoperability
 - partial control over user experience and add-on services





- Trusted 3rd party acting as Interoperable Service Centre
- Naturally evolving towards Mobile NFC ecosystem
- investments shared between MNOs and Banks

- Pros
 - only scenario to guarantee full MNO/Banks interoperability
 - open model allowing any role
 - MNO → IMEL
 - Bank → MVNO
- Cons
 - complex provisioning, service activation and assurance
 - complex agreements negot.
 - critical value chain and business model at start-up





- Bank distribute and control MNO SIMs without becoming MVNO
 - customer still retain existing MSISDN, tarif plan etc.
 - only m-payments application is managed by the Bank
- Likely target scenario for large Banks
- investments mainly up to Bank

- Pros
 - churn reduction
 - customer ownership
 - control on user experience and add-on services (e.g. m-commerce, m-taxes)
 - focus on core business
- Cons
 - difficult to negotiate with MNOs





- PosteMobile service model
- MNO acting as "dumb pipe"
- Banks acting as MVNO
 - full-service model
- 100% investments up to Bank

- Pros
 - churn reduction
 - full customer ownership
 - full control on user experience and add-on services (e.g. m-commerce, m-taxes)
- Cons
 - high level of investments
 - MNO swap requested to customers

Agenda

- Mobile payments facts
- Ericsson strategic vision
- Architecture components & business models
- Conclusions



Ericsson P2P Payments solution



why Ericsson

- Standard-based WPKI solution
- Flexible and future proof: ONE platform supporting
 - remote P2P payments
 - mobile remittance
 - mobile top-up
 - multiple business models
 - internal and external e-wallet
 - MNO centric
 - Bank centric
 - 3rd party centric
- Scalability
 - just 1 or 2 SMS for transaction
- SIM-vendor independent
 - multiple SIM vendors supported, lower costs
- Evolving as TSM in a Mobile NFC ecosystem



Conclusioni

- mobile payments: contactless (NFC) vs. remote
 - mobile NFC > 5 anni
 - remote payments (P2P) è partito
 - consenso su soluzione SIM-based
- modelli di business
 - Telco-centrico
 - Banca-centrico
 - 3rd party-centrico
- Fattori di decisione
 - propensione all'investimento
 - customer ownership
 - catena distributiva
- Evoluzione del mercato
 - start-up: modelli semplici
 - interoperabilità e roaming fondamentali nel lungo termine
 - numero limitato di tecnologie e vendor candidati a divenire "standard"

ERICSSON SERICSSON